UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,418	10/24/2005	Giuseppe Fedrigoni	41037/AJ/Ip	5323
Modiano & Ass	7590 09/30/200 sociati	EXAMINER		
Via Meravigli 1 Milano, 20123	6	FORTUNA, JOSE A		
ITALY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/554,4	418	FEDRIGONI, GIUSEPPE				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		José A. I	Fortuna	1791				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on ti	he cover sheet w	rith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) file	od op 05 August 200	na					
2a)□	• •	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)□		<i>7</i> —		tore proceedation as to th	o morite is			
3/1	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practi	ce dildei Ex parte d	<i>(dayle</i> , 1555 6.1	J. 11, 400 O.G. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>12-14 and 16-21</u> is/are per	nding in the applicati	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>12-14 and 16-21</u> is/are reje	ected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner						
,	The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2</u>		cepted or b)□ (objected to by the Examir	ner.			
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any obje		-	-				
			_		ER 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A44- *	W-3							
Attachmen			4) Intension	Summany (PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/554,418 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 19 the phrase "... per each side of the paper medium" lacks of sufficient antecedent basis. Also the claim is vague and indefinite, because it is unclear if the second deposition refers to the second deposition of claim 16, which it depends, and such layer contains pearlescent pigments or it refers to the second layer of claim 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,418 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 12-14, 16-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiley et al., US Patent No. 4,409,280.

Wiley et al. teach a decorative sheet in which a substrate, e.g., paper (column 3, lines 25-37), is printed then coated, (the first layer, **21** on figure 2), and then printed with a pigment, (layer **25** on figure 2), see abstract. The pigmented layer contains pearlescent particles, column 5, lines 13-16. Wiley et al. teach also that the coating/printing can be done by any printing, coating techniques, such as rotogravure, flexographic, screen printing, etc., column 33-44. Note that any paper is suitable for printing. As to the thickness of the coating or the base paper, optimizing such properties is within the levels of ordinary skill in the art as these properties are not critical and can be optimized. Note that as evidenced by applicant's own words, page 4, lines 26-29, reproduced below, the thickness of the coating and/or base paper are not critical to the invention and therefore, optimizing the thickness of the coating and/or the paper would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Naturally, nothing forbids the use of initial paper media of different thickness, or the deposition of thicknesses of coating (or pearlescent coating) having thicknesses that differ from those indicated in the method described above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/554,418 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wiley et al., US Patent No. 4,409,280.

Claim 20 is a product by process claim and Wiley et al. show a paper, (se above), which is similar to the claimed paper. In the event any differences can be shown for the product -by-process claim 20 as opposed to the product taught by the reference Wiley et al. such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing unexpected results, see In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985). Also, As the afore mentioned claims are product by process claims, it is deemed that "[A]ny difference imparted by the product by process claims would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because where the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art the burden of proof is shifted to the applicants to establish that their product is patentably distinct, ..." In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q. 685, and In re Fessmann, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324. Further, "[P]rocess limitations are significant only to the extent that they distinguish the claimed product over the prior art product." In re Luck, 177 U.S.P.Q. 523 (1973).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-14 and 16-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure in the art of "Method for producing coated paper with pearlescent effect."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A. Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/José A Fortuna/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791